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Homes tend be depicted as sites of consumption and display, but they are also the locus of a perpetual struggle against unwanted accumulation. Guests often judge the proliferation of clutter as an indication of the moral rectitude of the family, and yet the act of keeping material objects is an essential mode for the transmission of kin relationships and identity. In many societies, the house is analogically related to the body (Carsten and Hugh-Jones 1995), and the flow of domestic possessions in and out of the home can be associated with the purity, health, and wealth of its residents (Empson 2012). But little anthropological attention has been placed upon the conflicting forces of the need to storing family valuables (and values) while simultaneously fighting against the encroachment of clutter and disorder, especially across cultures. And yet the increasing availability of cheap consumer goods means that surplus belongings are an increasingly common phenomenon across the globe.

Since its appearance as a psychological disorder on reality television in 2008, “hoarding” has become a household word in the US, and most people claim to have one in their extended family. Professional organizers and purveyors of minimalist aesthetics and ethics have also made regular headlines in recent years, further demonstrating a widespread concern with over how to contain and control the constant influx of material goods, as well as the difficulties in ridding themselves of unwanted things that tend to accumulate in various niches of the home. In 2013, “Hoarding Disorder” was entered for the first time as an official category of psychological disorder to the DSM-V (The Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders), the most important manual for psychological practice in the U.S. According to the DSM-V, hoarding disorder is estimated to affect 2.5 to 5% of the human population, and is believed to be a genetic trait that is distributed universally. Despite historical and anthropological indications that it is strongly correlated with capitalism (Hodder 2014, Smail 2014), the biomedical model continues to dominate intellectual discussion in reductionist ways (as described by Orr et al 2017).

Indeed, if we approach the problems from a social rather than psychological perspective (Herring 2014), it is possible that hoarding disorder and minimalism are indexes of the limits of the North Atlantic ethics of accumulation. These problems have now been documented
The relationship between persons and possessions has been an important source of anthropological insight since Mauss reflections on gift economies such as the kula and potlatch, but here we hope to turn that rich history of insight towards the social processes surrounding gathering, storing, purging, and recirculating objects with an attention to the influx and outflux of materiality in the home. Already in two of the most renowned ethnographic texts we find indications of the importance of storage in social relationships: the Azande granary and the Trobriand yam house. Indeed, Weiner (1992), a pioneer of storage studies, demonstrated that a reinterpretation of the yam house in terms of gender demonstrates that the yam house is always a public index of the state of relations between brothers-in-law, a relationship also mediated by the production and circulation of perishable women’s wealth (bundles) by the woman that brings them together. Brought into dialogue with the global spread of commodities for household consumption, these kinds of interminglings between relatedness, possessions, and the spatial organization of the home can serve as inspiration for new interpretative approaches to kinship. The twin problems of storage and clutter seem present in most societies, and yet they are rarely given a space of prominence in ethnography. In this collection we hope to draw upon a wide range of ethnographic sources to make the hidden spaces of storage and their role in social relationships visible in new ways.

We invite submissions of abstracts of less than 300 words to etasia@ulb.ac.be by January 7th, 2021, considering the following sorts of questions: What is the relationship between storage and the labor of kinship? What kinds of possessions are sources of obligation? Which are experienced as social or animate beings? What social practices and spatial processes surround waste, excess, and the riddance of objects from the home? How might local ethnographic concepts like hau or brol inform the anthropological understanding of attachment to possessions, recycling, or the circulation of second-hand objects? When is accumulation a valued social practice, and when is it morally suspect? How is the space of storage constructed in relationship to the social space of the home, and how might this reflect on the local category of stored things? We invite authors to consider how practices such as storage, stockpiling, and purging of belongings can be approached anthropologically in order to provide both nuanced ethnographic depth and broader cross-cultural and historical perspective. Interdisciplinary perspectives are also welcome.

This project is envisioned as a workshop leading to a special issue or edited volume. We will invite a group selected from the abstract submissions to submit 6000-8000 word papers in May 2021. We will ask all participants to read and participate in the discussion of all papers, either in a two-day workshop at the ULB in Brussels, Belgium, or in some virtual substitution for this process in late May or early June. Due to ongoing Covid difficulties, we are not certain of our ability to meet in person. If face to face contact is possible, we will pay for travel and lodging for the event hosted at ULB. In the seemingly likely event that travel is impossible, we will engage with each other’s papers with written notes and in virtual group discussion and commentary in order produce collective knowledge and coherence between participants and if possible, seek later venues/dates for in person meetings.
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